

ND

## PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Average	Below Average	N/A
2002	Average	Below Average	N/A
2003	Average	Unsatisfactory	No
2004	•	·	

### PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

Our School Elementary Schools with Students like Ours



### **Definition of Critical Terms**

Advanced

Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Proficient

Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic

Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND FARENTS							
	Teachers	Students	Parents				
Number of surveys returned	51	194	84				
Percent satisfied with learning environment	92.2%	75.0%	85.7%				
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	92.2%	72.0%	75.6%				
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	78.0%	82.5%	83.5%				

PAL'I PERFORMANCE	BY GR	PACT PERFORMANCE BY GROUP  LENGTH AND TEST OF THE CONTROL OF THE C						
		, NSt ins	/.	_ ejc		ient	/ ¿&	, and
	/16	en destr	eten	CM Box	asic /	oroficie	Hand	cientance
	Enron	840, 0/g	lested old	ole Flow Basic	Basic of	Proficient of	Advanced Profi	cient and ci
	/		Er Er	nglish/Lar	iguage A		_ `	/ 5
All students	892	99.1	32.8	41.1	22.9	3.1	26.0	17.6
Gender	7,1							
Male	461	99.1	37.4	39.3	21.5	1.8	23.3	17.6
Female	431	99.1	27.9	43.1	24.5	4.4	28.9	17.6
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	410	99.3	19.3	41.8	33.8	5.2	38.9	17.6
African-American	468	98.9	45.3	41.0	12.3	1.4	13.7	17.6
Asian/Pacific Islander	6	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Hispanic	5	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
American Indian/Alaskan	3	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Disability Status				(				
Not disabled	734	99.7	27.1	42.1	27.1	3.7	30.8	17.6
Disabled	158	96.2	62.5	36.0	1.5	N/A	1.5	17.6
Migrant Status	100	JU.E	32.0	55.5	1.0	. ,,, ,	1.0	77.0
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-migrant	892	99.1	32.8	41.1	22.9	3.1	26.0	17.6
English Proficiency	032	55.1	32.0	71.1	22.0	5.1	20.0	17.0
Limited English proficient	2	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-limited English proficient	890	99.1	32.7	41.0	23.2	3.1	26.3	17.6
Socio-Economic Status	030	00.1	OL.I	11.0	20.2	0.1	20.0	17.0
Subsidized meals	584	99.0	41.8	43.3	13.3	1.6	14.9	17.6
Full-pay meals	308	99.4	15.8	37.1	41.2	5.8	47.1	17.6
p,	300	оот	10.0	07.1	71.2	0.0	, -1	1 11.0
				Mathe	matics			
All students	892	99.3	31.2	46.0	14.7	8.2	22.9	15.5
Gender								
Male	461	98.9	31.6	44.5	15.2	8.8	24.0	15.5
Female	431	99.8	30.7	47.6	14.1	7.6	21.7	15.5
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	410	99.8	17.2	45.8	22.9	14.1	37.0	15.5
African-American	468	98.9	43.8	46.7	6.8	2.7	9.5	15.5
Asian/Pacific Islander	6	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Hispanic	5	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
American Indian/Alaskan	3	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Disability Status								
Not disabled	734	99.7	23.7	49.7	17.0	9.6	26.6	15.5
Disabled	158	97.5	69.6	26.8	2.9	0.7	3.6	15.5
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-migrant	892	99.3	31.2	46.0	14.7	8.2	22.9	15.5
English Proficiency								
Limited English proficient	2	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-limited English proficient	890	99.3	30.7	46.2	14.9	8.3	23.1	15.5
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	584	99.3	41.0	46.1	8.9	4.0	12.8	15.5
Full-pay meals	308	99.4	12.4	45.7	25.8	16.2	41.9	15.5

# PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

		Enolin	aldife olo	162 010 85	NOW OF	By 0/0	810	Adv olo Profil
		KIN O	<u>»</u>	/ 0,0				0/0,
				English	n/Langua	ge Arts		
	Grade 3	218	N/A	19.7	44.0	32.6	3.7	36.2
	Grade 4	203	N/A	22.4	54.7	20.9	2.0	22.9
2002	Grade 5	210	N/A	31.1	51.0	16.5	1.5	18.0
20	Grade 6	221	N/A	35.4	42.0	19.8	2.8	22.6
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
•	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 3	214	99.1	17.3	41.1	35.1	6.4	41.6
	Grade 4	226	99.6	30.9	40.6	25.8	2.8	28.6
2003	Grade 5	218	99.1	38.5	44.7	16.3	0.5	16.8
2	Grade 6	234	98.7	43.9	38.3	15.0	2.8	17.8
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

				M	athematio	s		
	Grade 3	218	N/A	32.6	39.9	21.6	6.0	27.5
	Grade 4	203	N/A	38.3	43.3	12.4	6.0	18.4
2002	Grade 5	210	N/A	44.2	35.4	14.6	5.8	20.4
20	Grade 6	221	N/A	43.9	36.3	15.6	4.2	19.8
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
•	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 3	214	99.5	22.7	48.8	18.7	9.9	28.6
	Grade 4	226	100.0	30.4	48.8	11.5	9.2	20.7
2003	Grade 5	218	99.5	35.2	46.7	13.3	4.8	18.1
20	Grade 6	234	98.3	36.0	39.7	15.4	8.9	24.3
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

SCHOOL PROFILE				
(	Our School	Change from Last Year	Elementary Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Elementary School
Students (n= 895)				
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Retention rate	3.4%	Up from 2.1%	2.7%	2.4%
Attendance rate	93.6%	Up from 93.4%	95.6%	95.9%
Meeting grade 1 and 2 readiness standards	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Eligible for gifted and talented	15.7%	Up from 12.6%	11.9%	13.2%
On academic plans	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
On academic probation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
With disabilities other than speech	16.1%	Up from 15.9%	8.1%	8.0%
Older than usual for grade	1.0%	Down from 1.1%	1.2%	1.1%
Suspended or expelled	0.0%	Down from 0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 57)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	49.1%	Down from 55.4%	47.1%	50.0%
Continuing contract teachers	89.5%	Up from 89.3%	86.2%	85.3%
Highly qualified teachers	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Teachers returning from previous year	r 89.3%	Up from 87.9%	87.1%	86.2%
Teacher attendance rate	98.3%	Up from 94.3%	95.2%	95.3%
Average teacher salary	\$39,347	Up 0.9%	\$39,468	\$39,909
Prof. development days/teacher	13.2 days	Up from 11.6 days	11.6 days	11.4 days
School				
Principal's years at school	3.0	Up from 2.0	4.0	4.0
Student-teacher ratio	16.8 to 1	Down from 20.5 to 1	18.9 to 1	18.9 to 1
Prime instructional time	91.4%	Up from 86.1%	89.3%	89.7%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$4,902	Up 7.0%	\$5,711	\$5,892
Percent spent on teacher salaries*	71.0%	Up from 70.8%	66.7%	66.6%
Opportunities in the arts	Good	No change	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences	96.4%	Down from 96.6%	99.0%	99.0%
SACS accreditation	yes	N/A	yes	yes

<sup>\*</sup> Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

Abbreviations	2	Mississ	Data
Appreviations	IOL	wiissina	บลเล

N/A Not Applicable	N/C Not Collected	N/R Not Reported	I/S Insufficient Sample
--------------------	-------------------	------------------	-------------------------

### REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

The Cheraw Intermediate School faculty, staff, and community have participated in the development of four main goals for the school. All students will improve in performance as a result of (1) a challenging academic environment, (2) teachers trained and updated in best-practice approaches to language arts and mathematics, (3) effective use of technology, and (4) the involvement of a supportive community. Goals are implemented within the school's strategic development plan and Title One resource model, and they address the specific needs and components of the school district's mission for each student.

For the past three years, our students have demonstrated academic growth on the Palmetto Achievement Challenge Test (PACT) in the area of language arts at all grades. The school has focused its attention on developing appropriate writing practices and strategies through the implementation of "Write...From the Beginning." Nine teachers completed the third year of the South Carolina Reading Initiative. Two literacy classrooms and a manipulative-based math program were implemented in order to move more students to "basic or above" on PACT testing. Extensive reinforcement of classroom libraries and novel unit development occurred in grades three through five. All students achieved the district goal of 15 hours or more in reading and math in the CCC Lab. Over 39,000 points, a school record, were scored in Accelerated Reader, and the media center expanded its collection to include professional resources for extending the writing curriculum. Cheraw Intermediate continued to lead the state in the highest percentage of students qualifying for the Presidential Physical Fitness Award.

Cheraw Intermediate School will continue to use systematic test data, informal assessments, and individualized strategies in meeting the needs of all children. School-wide action plans will reflect carefully targeted areas of program improvement in language arts, mathematics, technology, science, and social studies. The active participation of the school's P.T.O. helps provide integral programs that benefit all children. The high expectations and expertise of the administration, faculty, and staff will enable all students to be successful learners.

John Jones, Jr., Principal

#### DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

### DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.